Designing Dynamic Assessment for Vocabulary

Mary Mitchell, PhD, CCC-SLP

Pacific University
School of Communication Sciences & Disorders

Financial Disclosures: Salaried employee at Pacific University Non-financial Disclosures: None

BACKGROUND: Authentic assessments are central to culturally responsive communication evaluations for students from linguistically and culturally diverse backgrounds. Dynamic assessment (DA), one form of authentic assessment, aligns with the convergent evidence framework presented by Castilla-Earls et al. (2020) for evaluating bilingual students. DA involves observation of learning using a test-teach-retest structure. Based on evidence from a recent meta-analysis on the use of DA for diagnosing language disorders in bilingual children, DA is supported as one approach to differentiate bilingual speakers with

a language disorder (Orellana et al., 2019).

Although SLPs are responsible for conducting culturally responsive evaluations (ASHA, 2010), many SLPs lack confidence with accurate identification of children with language disorders who speak more than one language (e.g., Guiberson & Atkins, 2012). Further, many SLPs lack confidence knowing how to go about assessing bilingual students using DA (Gormon, 2015).

OBJECTIVES

Participants will be able to: (a) explain a rationale for using DA for vocabulary; (b) identify three common DA components & (c) design a DA task using the *Dynamic Assessment Vocabulary: Planning Checklist:*

BACKWARD DESIGN

Determine learning outcomes and how to measure

Select teaching procedure, words, & activities

Analyze student learning (performance & modifiability) through test-teach-retest

VOCABULARY OUTCOMES: CONTENT EXAMPLES

Identify (written words, pictures, sentences, &/or passages)

Name (label word)

Categorize (objects, pictures, written words)

Define or describe (student-friendly definitions, categories, attributes, synonyms, examples)

Infer (from within word, sentence, or short passage)

Use word-learning strategy

Use word in context



If you transfer something, you move it to a different place.

VOCABULARY SCORING EXAMPLES

Rating Scales	Total Points Correct
Points awarded based on rubric-type scale	0-10 points for various expressive (definition) & receptive (identification) tasks (e.g., Petersen et al., 2020).
Gellert & Arnbak (2020) described what constituted 2 points, 1.5 points, 1 point, or 0 points for each response.	Total points on researcher-created tasks for Synonyms and Words-in-Context (e.g., Spielvogel & Ehren, 2021)

MODIFYABILITY SCALE EXAMPLE

Modifiability Scale & Learning Strategies Checklist (Peña, 2000)

MODIFIABILITY SCALE: Examiner effort (scale of 0-3, extreme to slight); Child responsivity (scale of 0-3, none to high); Transfer (scale of 0-2, low to high)

LEARNING STRATEGIES (further sub-skills included in checklist). Scale of 0-2 (none/some/most)

Attention/discrimination
Planning
Transfer

Comparative behavior
Self-regulation/awareness
Motivation



Photo credit: Allison Shelley for EDUImages

TEACHING PROCEDURE EXAMPLES

General Learning Structures

- Mediated Learning Experience (MLE; Gormon 2015; Lidz,1991; Peña et al, 2007)
- Cue/Do/Review (Ehren, 2008; Spielvogel & Ehren, 2021)

Vocabulary-Specific Instruction

- Robust Vocabulary Instruction (e.g., Beck et al., 2008; 2013)
- Research protocols used for word-level skills, excluding morphology-only instruction (e.g., Kapantzoglou et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., under review; Peña, 2000; Petersen et al., 2020)

DA VOCABULARY: PLANNING CHECKLIST

Dynamic Assessment Vocabulary: Planning Checklist (Mitchell, 2021)

ge:
ace:
thnicity:
Other cultural background notes:

Concerns expressed by teacher/caregivers/student:

Select Type(s) of Vocabulary Tasks

Identify (written words, pictures, sentences, passages)

Categorize (written words, pictures)

Name (label word)

Define or describe (categories, attributes, examples, synonyms)

Infer (from within word, sentence, or short passage)

Use word-learning strategy

Use word in context

Other

Select a Vocabulary Scoring System

Rating scale (e.g., Gellert & Arnbak, 2020) Total points correct (e.g., Petersen et al., 2020; Spielvogel & Ehren, 2021) Other:

Select Modifiability Scale

Modifiability Scale & Learning Strategies Checklist (Peña, 2000) Mediated Learning Observation (MLO; Peña et al., 2007) Postteaching Modifiability Scale (Petersen et al., 2017; 2020)

Select General Teaching Procedure

General learning structure: MLE (Gormon, 2015; Peña et al., 2007)
General learning structure: Cue/Do/Review (Spielvogel & Ehren, 2021)
Other:

Select Target Academic Vocabulary Words

Beck et al. (2008) word list by grade range Flocabulary.com word list by grade Academic Word List (Coxhead, 2000) Clinician-made from curriculum

Design Activity & Draft Directions for Students (align with type of vocabulary task)

Robust vocabulary instruction includes: intentional selection of academic vocabulary words, explicit instruction, student friendly explanations, contextual information, multiple encounters in different contexts, and interactions involving deep & active processing of word meanings (e.g., Beck et al., 2008; 2013)

Beck et al. (2008) Menu of Instructional Activities

Flocabulary.com activities corresponding to word sets
Clinician-made
Other:

Pretest Date Dates of Teaching Session(s) Posttest Date

QR CODE: PRESENTATION, HANDOUT, & REFERENCES

